



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/847,538	05/03/2001	Brita Schulze	047664-5002-US	4013	
9629	7590 08/26/2005		EXAMINER		
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW			SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1617		
			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2009	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/847,538	SCHULZE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>ıne 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.	•				
	_					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 33,37-51 and 53-74 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 33, 37-51, 53-74 are subject to restrict the subject the s	vn from consideration.	t.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

K

Election/Restrictions

Claims 33, 37-51, 53-74 are pending. Claims 37-51, 70-73 were subject to a Restriction Requirement and stand withdrawn because they are not directed to elected invention. Claims 70-73 are withdrawn because they are not directed to elected species. (see Office Actions filed on January 12 2005 and July 16, 2003). Claims 33, 53-69, 74 are subjected to a further Restriction Requirement as outlined below.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 33, 53-57, 62, 64, 66, 69 drawn to a method of identifying an optimal range of zeta potential for a composition for targeting to an activated vascular site, classified in class 424, subclass 450, or 499.
- II. Claims 58-61, 63, 65, 67, 69, 74 drawn to methods of modifying an agent to enhance its efficacy, classified in class 514, subclass 2+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, each set of claims in Group I and Group II are directed to methodologies that are divergent in function and end effects. Accordingly, they are directed to different functions or different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the

Application/Control Number: 09/847,538

Art Unit: 1617

search required for Group I is not required for Group II as evidence by their different

classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the claimed invention:

(a) various imaging or diagnostic agents,

(b) various therapeutic agents.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species,

either a single imaging or diagnostic agent, or a single therapeutic agent for prosecution

on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to

be allowable. Currently claims 33, 58, 60, 61 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification

of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless

accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the

elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably

distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Due to complexity of this case, no telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. (see MPEP § 812.01) Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 571-272-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,538

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER